

Can machines read sentences like Geoscientists do?

Paul H. Cleverley Ph.D.Associate LecturerRobert Gordon University, Aberdeen, Scotland UK.



FORCE – Machine Learning on Subsurface Data Symposium 20th Sep 2018

Can machines read sentences like geoscientists do?

Assumptions – The 3 laws¹ of geoscience text analytics

- 1. Law of Non-Equivalence: Algorithms will not read or understand sentences in the same way as *geoscientists*, because they are not geoscientists. It will be different, for better and for worse. Algorithms will have their own biases – but they may differ from our own ones which may assist and be helpful.
- 2. Law of Human Cognitive Capacity Limitations: The amount of potentially relevant geoscience information available exceeds our cognitive capacity to read it and detect subtle patterns. It is likely that this limits the discovery of surprising, insightful and valuable connections, supporting the use case for algorithms to assist geoscientists and MEMEX devices.
- **3.** Law of Inflated Expectations: Myth and propaganda serving technological interests, may blind some of us, some of the time, to Law number #1



1 Inspired by Isaac Asimov 's Three Law's of Robotics Alan Turing (1950) Imitation Game Vannevar Bush (1945) Ellul (1954) The Technological Society



Background - Cognitive Bias

"A compelling narrative fosters an illusion of inevitability" Daniel Kahneman "People generally see what they look for, and hear what they listen for" Harper Lee





Text and Data Mining – Rule Based

Spatializing entities/concepts and associations e.g. 'mentions' of Pre-Cambrian

C shows histograms of δ C analyses of anthraxolite and organic carbon in Precambrian sedimentary rocks, graphite in noncalcareous Precambrian schists and gneisses, and vein graphites from Ceylon. Montana, and New Hampshire. From these data, it is clear that



'Extracting integer and float data from unstructured text e.g. ppm is an association with a chemical element

Monroe,where a ridge on the east meets the plain of Sevier River. It is discharging about 30 gallons minute of water at temperatures range from per that 135ø to 146ø F; an analysis indicates that it contains 0.41 ppm of manganese.



Cleverley (2017) Data courtesy of the Society of Economic Geology via GeoscienceWorld



Unsupervised machine learning: Word Vectors

<u>Question</u>: Which is the most similar play to the 'Green Formation'?

| | Word count of co-occurrences | | | |
|-----------------|------------------------------|-------------|------|--|
| Play | Reservoir | Source Rock | Trap | |
| Green Formation | | | | |
| Beano Formation | | | | |
| Nemo Formation | | | | |
| | _ | | | |

<u>Answer</u>: Nemo is the most similar play based on latent patterns in text

| | Word count of co-occurrences | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|-----------|--|
| Play | shoreface | well rounded | fractured | |
| Green Formation: Reservoir | 5 | 3 | 2 | |
| Beano Formation: Reservoir | 0 | 1 | 2 | |
| Nemo Formation: Reservoir | 1 | 4 | 3 | |
| | | | | |

$$rac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^n A_i B_i}{\sqrt{\sum\limits_{i=1}^n A_i^2} \sqrt{\sum\limits_{i=1}^n B_i^2}}$$

Green Formation v Beano Formation = (5*0+3*1+0*5+2*2)/((SQRT(5*5+3*3+0*0+2*2)x(SQRT(0*0+1*1+5*5+2*2)) = 0.2075Green Formation v Nemo Formation = (5*1+3*4+0*0+2*3)/((SQRT(5*5+3*3+0*0+2*2)x(SQRT(1*1+4*4+0*0+3*3)) = 0.7333)

Cleverley, P.H. (2016) Machine Learning in Text for Geoscientists. Digital Energy and British Computer Society, May 2016, Aberdeen, UK: 100

ns://paulhcleverley.com/2016/05/

Unsupervised machine learning: analogues

Text Corpus (Documents)

| I need an analogue for play 23c | | | Ask | | |
|---------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--|
| Analogue | Overall | Reservoir | Source | Trap | |
| <u>Play 47</u> | <u>82%</u> | <u>91%</u> | <u>87%</u> | <u>63%</u> | |
| <u>Play 12</u> | <u>80%</u> | <u>79%</u> | <u>82%</u> | <u>45%</u> | |
| <u>Play 45a</u> | <u>65%</u> | <u>72%</u> | <u>15%</u> | <u>75%</u> | |
| <u>Play 3</u> | <u>61%</u> | <u>91%</u> | <u>15%</u> | <u>25%</u> | |
| <u>Play 102</u> | <u>58%</u> | <u>47%</u> | <u>20%</u> | <u>33%</u> | |
| <u>Play 56</u> | <u>54%</u> | <u>34%</u> | <u>39%</u> | <u>62%</u> | |
| Play 32 | <u>51%</u> | <u>81%</u> | <u>18%</u> | <u>15%</u> | |
| | | | | | |

Co-occurrence Algorithms

To date I have only tested concepts with geoscientists on Lithostratigraphic Units not the whole play concept. Promising results:

"I input the xxx Formation that I studied in Tunisia and it returned a lateral equivalent (in Libya) that I had not come across before."

Geologist, Multi-National Oil and Gas Company

Lexicons / Natural Language Processing (NLP) Entity Extraction/Noun Phrases Concept Associative Extraction Positive, PointWise Mutual Information Measure (PMI), SVM DSM, Text Embeddings (Neural Network Word2Vec Mikolov *et al.* (2013); Řehůřek (2014))

ROBERT GORDON UNIVERSITY ABERDEEN

Hypothesis Tester (Economic Geology Example)

US States

Y-Axis = annual rainfall data from NOAA

X-Axis = similarity of word vectors of all US States in SEG text (6,000 papers) to the word vector of Arsenic in SEG text



Cleverley, P.H. (2017) Text Analytics meets Geoscience. New Mexico, April 2017



Supervised Machine Learning: Sentiment Analysis





Supervised Machine Learning: Sentiment Analysis

| C L Douten de la bane C La Douten Vendance d'Canad Lands Lands La Bane Vendance d'Canad Lands Lands La Bane Vendance d'Canad Lands La bane La Douten Vendance d'Canad La bane La Douten | <complex-block></complex-block> | Labelled Sentences and Labelled Lexicons | approximate 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 | a (Consele ▼) Céguet [| σ × Q · · · · · · · · · · · |
|--|---|--|--|-------------------------|---|
| | With the stand and the stan | 3 8 6007 % 100-0 3 8 0057 MG-0 3 8 0057 MG-0 3 8 8007 MG-0 3 8 8007 MG-0 | , In | | |
| TEXT SENTENCE AUTOMATICALLY EXTRACTED FROM DOCUMENTS | | | | |)LARI |
| racterization of fluvial and aeolian reservoirs | | | | | eutral |
| rce rocks are thought to have expelled oil after trap formation | | | | | sitive |
| ervoir sands are not a problem in this area | | | | | sitive |

reservoir sands are not a problem in this area
...shows several attractive fault related traps but sedimentological and stratigraphic studies indicate that there would be poor reservoir characteristics..
Negative
the ro values between 0.5 and 0.7% indicate low source-rock grade
Negative

consequently, a key subsequent step in exploration was to establish the presence of source rocks in the basin

<u>ch</u>

50



Neutral

Results – Accuracy Comparison 2 Categories POS v NEG



Comparing too State-of-the-art in the literature for generic sentiment analysis: Sentence Vector (Le & Mikolov 2014) gave 92.6% with 25,000 Move Reviews as a training set

ROBERT GORDON UNIVERSITY ABERDEEN

Results – Using 750 Test Set 3 Cat. (POS-NEG-NEUT)



Published data sets 750 labelled sentences (POS, NEG, NEUT) for test benchmarking classifier performance on Github:



Data driven insights



"...a really nice way to capture literature without reading it. It would be nice to run this method on all the AAPG publications of a few separate basins and see if the graphs reflect our basic understanding of these basins. This could become a very powerful method in understanding and visualizing the current state of knowledge." Exploration Geologist (December 2017)

ROBERT GORDON UNIVERSITY ABERDEEN

Summary and future work

- Extracting text & numerical data from documents is only half the story..
- Algorithms can approach 'geoscientist-like' accuracy on narrow classification tasks like sentiment analysis, but can process exponentially greater volumes of info.
- Finding analogues is a 'similarity' challenge. Identifying what similarity dimensions and characteristics appeal to geoscientists (and in which contexts and why) is an area for further research.



Cleverley & Burnett (2014) Study of 53 geoscientists using various stimulants on touchscreens

