Reconstructing the Triassic northern Barents Shelf;

basin infill patterns controlled by gentle sags and faults

Alvar Braathen,

Snorre Olaussen, Ingrid Anell, Kei Ogata, UNIS; Jan Inge Faleide, Roy Gabrielsen, Johan Petter Nystuen, UiO; William Helland-Hansen, Wojtek Nemec, UIB; Atle Mørk, NTNU; Per Terje Osmundsen, NGU/UNIS; Harmon Maher, UoN/USA; Fabrizio Storti, Fabrizio Balsamo, UoP/Italy

Combining onshore and offshore observations
 From Svalbard towards the south and east

Setting: Caledonian to Devonian infra-structure
 Setting: Carboniferous rifting before the Permian platform
 Triassic foreland sag basins and their infill characteristics
 Triassic extensional faulting modifying sediment routing
 Summary

Reconstructing the Triassic northern Barents Shelf

Proposal builds on a series of observations, presented here

Integrate onshore and offshore datasets to improve the understanding of basin development and tectonic activity of the northern Triassic Barents Shelf

- Clinoform migration across regional sag-type basins,
- Fluvial and shallow marine depositional systems of the migrating shelf,
- Growth faulting in the distribution of reservoir sandstones,
- Interaction between shelf progradation, sag-basin development and faulting,
- Deep-seated zones of weakness and far-field stress configurations

How did this foreland basin fill in? What controlled infill patterns? Can we establish sediment routing?





1) **SETTING** Todays exposures ...

from Late Cretaceous to Oligocene events ... controlling the map pattern domains

N side up => rifting
W side up => rifting

- Tertiary fold-thrust belt
 - Stacked crust in f-t belt
 - Foredeep
 - Forebulge
 - Backbulge
 Where are they?



1) SETTING: Paleogene and Cretaceous





Fig. 7. (a) Main highs observed from the tilt derivative at the present day in the southwestern Barents Sea. (b) Tentative restoration of nappes in arc-shaped Caledonian thrust belt before back-sliding and Late Palaeozoic basin formation. BB, Bjørnøya Basin; B1, B2 and B3, prominent NNW–SSE magnetic anomalies interpreted as basement highs beneath the Bjarmeland Platform; FP, Finnmark Platform; HB, Hammerfest Basin; LN, Loppa High north; LS, Loppa High south; MAF, Middle Allochthons front; NB, Nodkapp Basin; NH, Norsel High; OB, Late Palaeozoic Ottar Basin; SH, Stappen High; SHC, Late Palaeozoic Scott Hansen complex.



2) SETTING: Carboniferous rift basin, reactivating N-S Devonian fault zone

- Billefjorden Fault Zone reactivated as Carboniferous extensional fault
- Billefjorden Trough in the hanging-wall



Carboniferous Billefjorden Trough

Karst development Palaeoaplysina bioherms Av. Φ/Perm; Asselian 17.9 %/55.3 mD reefs Basin shoulder Fault-tip monoclines reefs/buildups in evaporite Localized fan dominated areas Karst systems around/above intra-basin fault complexes W Billefjorden fault zone km Braathen Cw et al. 2011 Petuniatukta syncline Cw Metamorphic 0 0 basement Devonian sediments Cm Ce Sandstone and mudstone Balliolbreen fault complex fault Odellfjellet fault Mixed evaporite, carbonate, 2 km sandstone and mudstone Løvehovden f Carbonate; micrite, grain-wackestone Gypsum, anhydrite, dolomite and mudstone Red shale and sandstone t complex Pre-rift sandstone and coal Cb- Billefjorden Gr; Ch- Hultberget Fm Johannessen and Steel 1992 Ce- Ebbadalen Fm: Cm- Minkinfiellet Fm Cw- wordiekammen Fm 2 km BILLEFJ. FAULT ZONE NY FRIESLAND NORDFJORDEN BILLEFJORDEN TROUGH BLOCK BLOCK

Detailed 3D geometries unravel basin configuration



Billefjorden Trough Boundary fault domain (west)

- N-S fault zone with relay structure between master faults
- Major alluval fan complex building out from relay zone
- Large basin-parallel monocline along fault zone; Petuniabukta syncline







Braathen et al. 2012



Billefjorden Trough

Central-East dip-slope side of half-graben

- N-S intra-basin faults and sub-basins
- Filled with interfingering siliciclastic, carbonate and evaporite rocks
- Fault-tip monocline and sharpbreaking extensional faults, controlled by evaporite fill





Extensional fault-tip monocline, with lenticular-shaped, HW sub-basin

Braathen et al. In press

Seismic interpretation

of Carboniferous extensional basin

Applying onshore basin geometries and facies distribution models to cultivate a viable basin description







well

3) TRIASSIC SYSTEM...

Peak of Uralides collision orogen ...

West

0

6

km 4





Onshore:

Triassic succession showing regional basin configuration

- Regional shallow sag (foreland) basin with clinoforms infilling mainly from the ESE to SE
- Widespread upper Triassic fault-bound basins of mainly the Snadd Fm age

Outcrop analog of the Snadd Formation at Barents Sea

Blanknuten (Edgeøya)



Offshore: Regional sedimentary system bypassing eastern foredeep basin

Tying seismics to well Hopen-2, and previous studies



Limited distribution seismics

Triassic sags and up-warps

Gardarbanken High (GH)

- Regional up-warping in Early Triassic (Forebulge - Deep salt -Other?)
- Blocking Early-Mid Triassic clinoform migration
- Bypassed in Mid. Triassic

Sørkapp basin (SB)

- Regional sag basin (back-bulge?)
- Thick, continuous(?) Triassic succession

Limited distribution seismics

Limited distribution seismics



Details: Sediment routing systems

Correlation between Barents Sea 3D seismics and databases ...

... ongoing work (Helland-Hansen et al., UiB)

Where are the sand sinks? Upper Triassic drainage patterns identified with seismic attributes in the Hoop Graben area

Limited distribution seismics







4) TRIASSIC FAULTING – steep, planar faults of Hopen

View from the SE of the northernmost fault on Hopen

Fault-tip defined as a major monocline in shale



Faulting of Klinkhammaren, Edgeøya

- Faults inferred from truncated sandstone sections
- Domino-style block faulting above bedding-parallel detachment near top Kobbe Fm
- Growth deposits seen in the upper shale section to the south.
- Erosional base below the upper succession that buries the faults.
- Snadd/DeGeerdalen Fm develops from a marine, thickbedded and sandstone dominated lower succession, into variable paralic deposits in the upper half.





Fault style summary: Edgeøya (Hopen)

- Steep, planer 50-100m faults cutting Kobbe/Botnheia Fm shale
- Listric faults rooting in Kobbe/Botnheia Fm shale
- Both fault systems with similar strike (~ E-W)
- Both fault systems with sedimentary growth wedges, hence active in Late Triassic time
- ⇒ Detachment in Kobbe/ Botnheia Fm pro-delta shale
- ⇒ Decoupled but linked deep-rooted (planar) and shallow-rooted (listric) faulting





Orientation of Triassic fault system, as seen in onshore and offshore data



World class example of interacting shallow and deep rooted fault system

Kvalpynten growth fault system, South Edgeøya

Growth fault = contemporaneous faulting and sedimentation, evidenced by abrupt increase of thickness across fault e.g., Edwards (1976)



Kvalpynten fault characteristics





Slip surface with 2-5 cm sheared sand membrane on HW side, and 5-10 cm sheared shale mebrane on FW side

Disaggregation (grain rolling) deformation band with sand smear



diagenetic pyrite. No slip surface!

Snadd Fm succession

DeGerdalen Fm, Kvalpynten, Edgeøya



Growth basin successions

Draping marine and tidal (paralic) units

Shale layer

Wedge-shaped massive sandstone units Progradational sandy/mixed units

Shale layer Wedge-shaped massive sandstone unit Wedge-shaped massive sandstonal sandy/mixed unit Progradational sandy/mixed unit

1985

Shallow-rooted listric fault

Steep planar fault

c. 100 m



^{1.} HW and SW-directed progradation of tidal depostis

Growth successions – typical facies associations (FA)



0

Deep-rooted faults terminating in the Snadd Fm, as seen in seismic lines of SE Svalbard

Complex reflection patterns in the upper Triassic succession could be caused by listric, shallow rooted faults, setting up 100m deep (< 100 ms) growth basins. This will be pursued through comparison with synthetic seismics

Limited distribution seismics



Anell et al., in press

Triassic faulting is regional

Hoop Graben with Triassic fault activity; ongoing PhD work on growth successions as seen in 3D seismics





36 GEO Mai 2012

Høy & Lundschien 2011

.. the larger picture

Triassic sedimentary system

Research approach:

- Interaction of deep (basement?) and shallow-rooted faults?
- Are stacked shelf-edges suggesting faultclinoform interaction?
- Impact on sediment routing - sags/highs vs. grabens?
- Sedimentary system characteristics





5) SUMMARY: Triassic growth basins vs clinoform shelf infilling

- Clinoform progradation interacts with sags and highs; forebulge– backbulge, deep salt or other drivers?
- Clinoforms locally arrested by Triassic fault-bound basins of the Snadd Fm age
- Regional faulting event rather than delta collapse (proposed earlier)
- Reactivated Carboniferous, basement-rooted faults?
- Partly detached fault system, rooted in Kobbe Fm shales (Edgeøya and distorted seismic levels)

Snadd Fm growth wedges:

- Pro-delta shales to delta/shoreline and partly fluvial deposits. Strong signals of tidal influence.
- Basins locally filled with massive sandstone, considered as faulttriggered instability massflow deposits.
- Significant variation in reservoir sandstone location and geometries
 => exploration strategy?



DATASETS FROM: ✓ NPD EXPEDITION, 2009 ✓ UNIS EXPEDITION 2012

Thank you ...



Bjørn



Atle