



Presenter: Nancy Lugo Chevron Upstream Europe

Stavanger 20/01/2010

Team Members: Nancy Lugo, David May, Elaine Campbell – Chevron Upstream Europe, Aberdeen Rick Ng, Steve Cheung – Energy Technology Company, Houston, Texas



Agenda

- What is BrightWater
- •Applications in the world
- BrightWater in Strathspey
 - Strathspey field and geology
 - BrightWater treatment in Strathspey
 - Modeling process
 - Treatment execution
 - Results
- Lessons Learned
- Conclusions



What is BrightWater

- Novel particulate system for indepth waterflood conformance control
- Co-developed between Chevron, BP and Nalco
- Small cross-linked polymer particles bullheaded into injection well
- Propagate deep into the reservoir
- Once heated polymer expands to block pore throats and prevent further fluid flow through rock
- Injected water diverts into less swept zones







BrightWater applications



2001

Minas Field – Indonesia (Chevron operated)

- Extra oil observed (SPE 84897)
- 2002/03

Arbroath, North Sea (BP)

- No extra oil. Ownership changes & production issues stopped assessment of field benefit.
- 2004/05

Alaska - Milne Point & Prudhoe Bay (BP)

> Over half a million extra barrels recovered from four trial wells. Treatment cost of just \$3.20 - \$3.80 per barrel.

2006

Strathspey

 Over 130 mboe increase first 12 months. Treatment cost of \$3.5 - \$4 per boe.



Brightwater treatment in Strathspey Field

- Subsea development
- Consists of a tilted fault block (10° West)
- Two Reservoirs
 - Brent Group (Black Oil)
 - Banks Group, Statfjord Fm. (Gas Condensate)
- Production Mechanism
 - **Brent**: water flooding, best candidate for BrightWater application
 - Statfjord: depletion drive
 - Brightwater deployed in Brent reservoir







Strathspey Brent Geology





MS14 as candidate for BW treatment







• Fast watercurt development at MS19 after MS14 injection started

• Reservoir simulation indicated high oil saturation around MS19.

•Conventional water shut-off methods require well intervention

• Cost prohibitive in a subsea environment

• BrightWater treatment recommended for well MS14

Treatment deployed in September 2006



Cross Section (W-E) along MS19 well path

- Reworked fault blocks
- Faults act as baffles not sealing
- •M6 injector give underlying support but not enough direct
- support within the fault compartments
- •MS14 considered a good injector candidate as it could sweep
- north towards the faulted compartments

•Hard to get efficient sweep in this area Cross Section 1 - along MS19 Well Path







Cross Section MS14 to MS19

- N to S fault structure indicates channeling was likely
 Perm differences between layers indicated thief zones were likely
- Possible improved vertical sweep efficiency was a target
- MS14 was proposed as target for BW



Cross Section 2 - MS14 to MS19





Modeling Process – BrightWater

Temperature regime around injector

Use tracer

- Determine well-well transit time and temp (help select best grade for the application)
- Look at where the bulk injected BW would go





Tracer Concentration



INTES DEC C

10



Modeling Process BrightWater (cont...)

Determine BrightWater Grade using Lab Tests

- Check activation times using bottle tests
- Inject selected BW grade into sand packs to check activation time/strength
- Calculate Resistance Factor
- Reservoir core test to select optimum formulation



Modeling Process BrightWater (cont...)

Predict Incremental Oil

- Run full waterflood history match
- Reduce permeability in the model at the position reached by tracer after heating
- Rerun tracer (assess if water takes a different route)
- Predict oil recovery with and without BW
- Predict incremental oil
- Calculate required treatment quantity



INTES DEC C



Treatment Execution STRATHSPEY SUBSEA LAYOUT





Brightwater Treatment - Logistics

Planned

- 140,000 litres Brightwater
- 70,000 litres BW Surfactant
- Spiked to 58,000 BBL Injection Water
 - 1.5% Polymer Concentration
- Pump Time at 22,000 bpd (15bpm)
 - ▶ 2.7 DAYS
- Actual
 - Metering Error
 - First Half Of Polymer Treatment At 1%
 - Second Half At 1.5%

Results





In 2007 the watercut appeared to be more controlled.

- Under similar voidage replacement conditions water cut accelerated to 80% over a matter of nine months in 2003
- BrightWater[®] slowed down the passage of water considerably between injector and producer.
- Allows MS19 to flow naturally at higher fluid rates with lower water-cut.
- Data indicated oil production rise of 575 boepd.
- Incremental of 130 MBOE first year
- Total incremental hydrocarbon estimated to rise to 317,300 boe

Chevror



Best Practices and Lessons Learned

Best Practices.

- Committed cooperative efforts among operators, vendors and research insititutes can deliver innovative technologies for enhancing hydrocarbon recovery.
- Investigate all data available to determine transit time between injector and producer. Consider an interwell tracer test first if there is a chance of very rapid connection between wells
- Simple simulation studies add valuable insights to the design and provide useful estimation of incremental oil recovery.

Lessons Learned.

- Long term operator commitment is needed assign resource, monitor results and document.
- Failure of of crucial subsea equipment can make monitoring of well performance very difficult for long periods until opportune availability of vessels is possible.
- More thorough yard trials for pumping Brightwater should be conducted with the vendor and operator personnel present.



Conclusions

- Brightwater was successfully deployed via a 10 mile subsea water injection line from the Ninian South Platform
- The treatment reduced the rate of water injection channeling between injector MS14 and producer MS19.
- The impact on MS19 is that the well can produce at a higher total fluid rate with a lower watercut leading to increased oil production.
- Incremental oil delivered in the first 12 months is 130,000 boe.



Any questions?